arXiv:hep-th/9806207v1 25 Jun 1998

FIAN/TD/98-12

EXISTENCE THEOREM
FOR SPLIT INVOLUTION CONSTRAINT ALGEBRA

I.A. Batalin*

I.E. Tamm Theory Division,
P.N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences,
53 Leninsky Prospect, 117924 Moscow, Russia

S.L. Lyakhovich**

Theoretical Physics Department,
Tomsk State University, 634050 Tomsk, Russia

I.V. Tyutin***

I.E. Tamm Theory Division,
P.N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences,
53 Leninsky Prospect, 117924 Moscow, Russia

ABSTRACT

Existence theorem is proven for the generating equations of the split involution constraint algebra. The structure of the general solution is established, and the characteristic arbitrariness in generating functions is described.

* E-mail address: batalin@lpi.ac.ru
** E-mail address: sll@phys.tsu.ru
*** E-mail address: tyutin@lpi.ac.ru

1 Introduction

In previous papers [1,2] of the present authors a special class of dynamical systems with first- and second-class constraints has been considered whose characteristic feature was an interesting algebraic structure generated by the constraints, which was called "split involution". In fact, the split involution means that the second–class constraints are Sp(2)–polarized which is formally similar to the global Sp(2)–invariant structure of the ghost–antighost symmetric quantization of general gauge theories [3,4]. It appeared to be possible in this case to quantize the dynamical systems by applying a generalized version of the BFV formalism, without explicit use of the Dirac brackets despite the presence of second–class constraints. In papers [1,2] the generating equations were formulated for the nilpotent generating operators determining physical sector, and for the unitarizing Hamiltonian as well, and the natural automorphisms of a solution to these equations were also found which the physical sector does not depend on. In the present paper we prove in details the existence of a solution to the generating equations, and then describe the characteristic arbitrariness of this solution. The proof is based on complete abelianization of the split involution algebra with the help of the admissible transformations (i.e. the ones which can be extended to become a natural automorphism of the generating equations).

Notations and conventions. In what follows $A^{[\mu\nu\lambda\ldots]}$ means a matrix antisymmetric in the indices inside the square brackets. $C_{\mu|(\nu)_k(\lambda)_l|(\sigma)_m}$ and $s_{\mu|(\nu)_k(\lambda)_l}$ are abbreviated notations for the tensors $C_{\mu|\nu_1\ldots\nu_k\lambda_{k+1}\ldots\lambda_{k+l}|\sigma_1\ldots\sigma_m}$ and $s_{\mu|\nu_1\ldots\nu_k\lambda_{k+1}\ldots\lambda_{k+l}}$ symmetric in the indices not divided by vertical line. By $s(x,y)$ we denote a function of the type

$$s(x,y)=\sum_{m,n}s_{(\mu)_m(\nu)_n}(x_\mu)^m(y_\nu)^n,$$

$$(x_\mu)^m\equiv x_{\mu_1}\ldots x_{\mu_m}.$$

All the formulae mentioned in the text are enumerated independently within each separate Section. When reading through a given Section, the reference (n) means the n-th mentioned formula of this Section. When mentioning the m-th formula of another, say k-th Section, we use the reference (k.m).

2 Generating equations

Let

$$\xi=(q^i,p_i), i=1,\ldots,n=n_++n_-\,,\quad (q^i)^\dagger=q^i,\quad (p_i)\dagger=p_i(-1)^{\varepsilon_i},$$

$$\varepsilon(q^i)=\varepsilon(p_i)\equiv\varepsilon_i,\quad \mathrm{gh}'(q^i)=\mathrm{gh}''(q^i)=-\mathrm{gh}'(p_i)=-\mathrm{gh}''(p_i)=0,$$

be a set of the original phase variable operators whose equal time nonzero supercommutation relations are

$$(\imath\hbar)^{-1}[q^i,p_j]=\delta^i_j.$$

Further, let us introduce the Hamiltonian,

$$H=H(p,q),\quad \varepsilon(H)=0,$$

and the constraint operators,

$$T_\alpha=T_\alpha(p,q),\quad \varepsilon(T_\alpha)\equiv\varepsilon_\alpha,\quad \alpha=1,\ldots,m'=m'_++m'_-,$$

$$T^a_\mu = T^a_\mu(p,q), \quad \varepsilon(T^a_\mu) \equiv \varepsilon_\mu, \quad a = 1,2,$$
$$\mu = 1,\ldots,m'' = m''_+ + m''_-, \quad m''_- = 2k, \quad m_\pm \equiv m'_\pm + m''_\pm < n_\pm.$$

As a next step, let us introduce the ghost phase variable operators. We assign a ghost canonical pair to each first class constraint operator:

$$T_\alpha \quad \rightarrow \quad (C'^\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_\alpha), \quad \alpha = 1,\ldots,m'\,,$$

$$\varepsilon(C'^\alpha) = \varepsilon(\bar{\mathcal{P}}'_\alpha) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha + 1, \quad \mathrm{gh}'(C'^\alpha) = -\mathrm{gh}'(\bar{\mathcal{P}}'_\alpha) = 1, \quad \mathrm{gh}''(C'^\alpha) = \mathrm{gh}''(\bar{\mathcal{P}}'_\alpha) = 0,$$
$$(C'^\alpha)^\dagger = C'^\alpha, \quad (\bar{\mathcal{P}}'_\alpha)^\dagger = -\bar{\mathcal{P}}'_\alpha(-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha}.$$

In the same way we assign a ghost canonical pair to each (a = 1,2) pair of the second class constraint operators:

$$T^a_\mu \quad \rightarrow \quad (C''^\mu, \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\mu), \quad \mu = 1,\ldots,m'',$$

$$\varepsilon(C''^\mu) = \varepsilon(\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\mu) = \varepsilon_\mu + 1, \quad \mathrm{gh}'(C''^\mu) = \mathrm{gh}'(\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\mu) = 0, \quad \mathrm{gh}''(C''^\mu) = -\mathrm{gh}''(\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\mu) = 1,$$
$$(C''^\mu)^\dagger = C''^\mu, \quad (\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\mu)^\dagger = -\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\mu(-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu}.$$

The equal time nonzero supercommutators of the ghost operators introduced are

$$(\imath\hbar)^{-1}[C'^\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_\beta] = \delta^\alpha_\beta, \quad (\imath\hbar)^{-1}[C''^\mu, \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\nu] = \delta^\mu_\nu.$$

Now, we introduce the generating operators

$$\Omega^a(q,p,C',\bar{\mathcal{P}}',C'',\bar{\mathcal{P}}''), \quad \varepsilon(\Omega^a) = 1, \quad \mathrm{gh}'(\Omega^a) = 0, \quad \mathrm{gh}''(\Omega^a) = 1,$$

$$\Omega(q,p,C',\bar{\mathcal{P}}',C'',\bar{\mathcal{P}}''), \quad \varepsilon(\Omega) = 1, \quad \mathrm{gh}'(\Omega) = 1, \quad \mathrm{gh}''(\Omega) = 0,$$

$$K(q,p,C',\bar{\mathcal{P}}',C'',\bar{\mathcal{P}}''), \quad \varepsilon(K) = 0, \quad \mathrm{gh}'(K) = 2, \quad \mathrm{gh}''(K) = -2,$$

$$\mathcal{H}(q,p,C',\bar{\mathcal{P}}',C'',\bar{\mathcal{P}}''), \quad \varepsilon(\mathcal{H}) = 0, \quad \mathrm{gh}'(\mathcal{H}) = 0, \quad \mathrm{gh}''(\mathcal{H}) = 0,$$

$$\Lambda(q,p,C',\bar{\mathcal{P}}',C'',\bar{\mathcal{P}}''), \quad \varepsilon(\Lambda) = 1, \qquad \mathrm{gh}'(\Lambda) = 1, \quad \mathrm{gh}''(\Lambda) = -2,$$

to satisfy the set of generating equations

$$\begin{gathered}
[\Omega^a, \Omega^b] = 0, \quad (\Omega^a)^\dagger = \Omega^a, \\
[\Omega^a,\, \Omega\,] = 0, \quad (\Omega\,)^\dagger = \Omega\,, \\
[\Omega\,,\, \Omega\,] = \varepsilon_{ab}(\imath\hbar)^{-1}[\Omega^b, [\Omega^a, K]], \;\; (K)^\dagger = K, \\
[\Omega^a, \mathcal{H}] = 0\;, \quad (\mathcal{H})^\dagger = \mathcal{H}, \\
[\Omega, \mathcal{H}\,] = \varepsilon_{ab}(\imath\hbar)^{-1}[\Omega^b, [\Omega^a, \Lambda]]\,, \;\; (\Lambda)^\dagger = \Lambda.
\end{gathered} \tag{1}$$

These equations admit the following group of natural automorphisms

$$A = A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3 \tag{2}$$

where A_1 is the standard unitary group

$$\Omega^a \quad \to \quad U^{-1}\Omega^a U,$$

$$\Omega \quad \to \quad U^{-1}\Omega U, \quad K \quad \to \quad U^{-1}KU,$$

$$\mathcal{H} \quad \to \quad U^{-1}\mathcal{H}U, \quad \Lambda \quad \to \quad U^{-1}\Lambda U,$$

$A_2 = GL(2,R)$ is the group of c-numerical nondegenerate transformations

$$\Omega^a \quad \to \quad S^a_b\,\Omega^b, \quad \Omega \quad \to \quad \Omega, \quad \mathcal{H} \quad \to \quad \mathcal{H},$$

$$K \quad \to \quad \lambda^{-1}K, \quad \Lambda \quad \to \quad \lambda^{-1}\Lambda, \quad \lambda \equiv \det(S^a_b),$$

and A_3 is the group of exact shifts

$$\Omega^a \quad \to \quad \Omega^a,$$

$$\Omega \quad \to \quad \Omega + \varepsilon_{ab}(\imath\hbar)^{-2}[\Omega^b,[\Omega^a,\Xi]],$$

$$K \quad \to \quad K + 2(\imath\hbar)^{-1}[\Omega,\Xi] + \varepsilon_{ab}(\imath\hbar)^{-3}[[\Omega^b,\Xi],[\Omega^a,\Xi]] + (\imath\hbar)^{-1}[\Omega^a,X_a],$$

$$\mathcal{H} \quad \to \quad \mathcal{H} + (\imath\hbar)^{-1}[\Omega,\Psi] + \varepsilon_{ab}(\imath\hbar)^{-1}[\Omega^b,[\Omega^a,\Phi]], \quad [\Omega^a,\Psi] = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda \quad \to \quad & \Lambda + (\imath\hbar)^{-1}[\Xi,\mathcal{H}] + (\imath\hbar)^{-1}[\Omega,\Phi] + \tfrac{1}{2}(\imath\hbar)^{-1}[K,\Psi] + \\ & +(\imath\hbar)^{-2}[\Xi,[\Omega,\Psi]] + \varepsilon_{ab}(\imath\hbar)^{-3}[[\Xi,\Omega^b],[\Omega^a,\Phi]] + (\imath\hbar)^{-1}[\Omega^a,Y_a].\end{aligned}$$

In the present paper we prove the existence of a solution to the classical counterpart of the generating equations (2.1). In classical approximation, the symbols of the generating operators (i.e. the generating functions) should satisfy the classical equations (2.1) (in what follows all the quantities are classical ones) with the changes $(\imath\hbar)^{-1}[\,,\,] \to \{\,,\,\}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\{\Omega^a,\Omega^b\} = 0, &\quad (\Omega^a)^* = \Omega^a,\\ \{\Omega^a,\Omega\,\} = 0, &\quad (\Omega\,)^* = \Omega\,,\\ \{\Omega\,,\Omega\,\} = \varepsilon_{ab}\{\Omega^b,\{\Omega^a,K\}\}, &\quad (K)^* = K,\\ \{\Omega^a,\mathcal{H}\} = 0\;, &\quad (\mathcal{H})^* = \mathcal{H},\\ \{\Omega,\mathcal{H}\,\} = \varepsilon_{ab}\{\Omega^b,\{\Omega^a,\Lambda\}\}\,, &\quad (\Lambda)^* = \Lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let us write down the lowest order contribution to the ghost power series expansion of generating functions

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^a = C''^{\mu}T^a_{\mu} + \tfrac{1}{2}C''^{\nu}C''^{\mu}U^{a\rho}_{\mu\nu}\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\rho}(-1)^{\varepsilon_\nu+\varepsilon_\rho} + C'^{\alpha}C''^{\mu}\tilde{U}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha}\bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\beta}(-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha+\tilde{\varepsilon}_\beta} + \\ \tfrac{1}{2}(-1)^{(\varepsilon_\nu+\varepsilon_\mu\tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha)}C'^{\alpha}C''^{\nu}C''^{\mu}\tilde{U}^{a\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha}\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\rho}\bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\beta}(-1)^{\varepsilon_\rho} + \dots\,,\end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega = C'^{\alpha}T_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}C'^{\beta}C'^{\alpha}\tilde{U}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\gamma}(-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\beta+\tilde{\varepsilon}_\gamma} + C'^{\alpha}C''^{\mu}U^{\nu}_{\mu\alpha}\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu}(-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha+\varepsilon_\nu} + \dots,$$

$$K = \frac{1}{2} C'^{\beta} C'^{\alpha} W^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu} (-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\beta} + \varepsilon_{\nu}} + \ldots ,$$

$$\mathcal{H} = H - C''^{\mu} V^{\nu}_{\mu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\nu}} - C'^{\alpha} \tilde{V}^{\beta}_{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\beta} (-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\beta}} + \ldots ,$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} C'^{\alpha} W^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\nu}} + \ldots ,$$

where the coefficient functions

$$U^{a\rho}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \tilde{U}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha}, \quad U^{\nu}_{\mu\alpha}, \quad \tilde{U}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \tilde{U}^{a\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} \quad W^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad W^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha}\,, \quad V^{\nu}_{\mu}, \quad \tilde{V}^{\beta}_{\alpha}\,, \tag{4}$$

are supposed to carry the natural parity and to have the following symmetry properties in their indices

$$U^{a\rho}_{\mu\nu} = -U^{a\rho}_{\nu\mu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu}\varepsilon_{\nu}}, \quad \tilde{U}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = -\tilde{U}^{\gamma}_{\beta\alpha} (-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\beta}}\,, \quad \tilde{U}^{a\gamma\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = -\tilde{U}^{a\gamma\rho}_{\nu\mu\alpha} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu}\varepsilon_{\nu} + \varepsilon_{\nu}\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\varepsilon_{\mu}},$$

$$W^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} = -W^{\nu\mu}_{\alpha\beta} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu}\varepsilon_{\nu}} = -W^{\mu\nu}_{\beta\alpha} (-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\beta}}, \quad W^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha} = -W^{\nu\mu}_{\alpha} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu}\varepsilon_{\nu}}.$$

It follows from the generating equations (2.1), (2.3) that the constraints and structure functions should satisfy the algebra

$$\begin{gathered}
\{T^{\{a}_{\mu}, T^{b\}}_{\nu}\} = U^{\{a\rho}_{\mu\nu} T^{b\}}_{\rho}, \\
\{T^{a}_{\mu}, T_{\alpha}\} = \tilde{U}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} T_{\beta} + U^{\nu}_{\mu\alpha} T^{a}_{\nu}, \\
\{T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\} = \tilde{U}^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} T_{\gamma} + \tfrac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} W^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta} (T^{b}_{\nu} \delta^{\rho}_{\mu} - T^{b}_{\mu} \delta^{\rho}_{\nu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu}\varepsilon_{\nu}}) T^{a}_{\rho}, \\
\{H, T^{a}_{\mu}\} = V^{\nu}_{\mu} T^{a}_{\nu}, \\
\{H, T_{\alpha}\} = \tilde{V}^{\beta}_{\alpha} T_{\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} W^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha} (T^{b}_{\nu} \delta^{\rho}_{\mu} - T^{b}_{\mu} \delta^{\rho}_{\nu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu}\varepsilon_{\nu}}) T^{a}_{\rho}, \\
\{T^{\{a}_{\mu}, \tilde{U}^{b\}\beta}_{\nu\alpha}\} - \{T^{\{a}_{\nu}, \tilde{U}^{b\}\beta}_{\mu\alpha}\} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu}\varepsilon_{\nu}} - \tilde{U}^{\{a\gamma}_{\mu\alpha} \tilde{U}^{b\}\beta}_{\nu\gamma} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\nu}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\gamma})} + \\
+ \tilde{U}^{\{a\gamma}_{\nu\alpha} \tilde{U}^{b\}\beta}_{\mu\gamma} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\gamma} + \varepsilon_{\nu})} - U^{\{a\rho}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{U}^{b\}\beta}_{\rho\alpha} = \tilde{U}^{\{a\rho\beta}_{\mu\nu\alpha} T^{b\}}_{\rho} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu}\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}}\,.
\end{gathered} \tag{5}$$

We suppose the constraints T^{a}_{μ}, T_{α} to guarantee the existence of structure functions (4) to satisfy the relations (5) just called the split involution algebra.

One should remember that the involution relations (5) define the structure functions (4) with a natural ambiguity. This ambiguity is related to the those parts of functions (4) which vanish on the constraint surface and do not contribute to the constraint algebra (5).

An antisymmetric in a, b part of the matrix of mutual Poisson brackets of the constraints T^{a}_{μ} with themselves

$$\{T^{[a}_{\mu}\,, T^{b]}_{\nu}\} = 2\varepsilon^{ab} \Delta_{\mu\nu}$$

is not determined by the constraint algebra (2.5). We suppose $\Delta_{\mu\nu}$ to be an invertible matrix, which means, in its own turn, that the constraints T^{a}_{μ} are of the second class. Besides, we suppose the complete set of the constraints T^{a}_{μ}, T_{α}, as well as its each subset, to be irreducible, which implies that the Jacobi matrix

$$\frac{D(\, T^{a}_{\mu}\,, \, T_{\alpha}\,)}{D(\, q^{i}\,, \, p_{i}\,)} \Bigg|_{T^{a}_{\mu} = T_{\alpha} = 0}$$

has the maximal rank.

Thus the problem is to prove the existence of a solution to the equations (3) and (1), under the assumption that the constraints generate the split involution algebra (5), and, then, to describe the characteristic arbitrariness of the general solution.

As it has already been said in Introduction, we begin with the abelianization of the algebra (5) with the help of the admissible transformations. With this purpose, let us write down the admissible transformations of the constraints and the Hamiltonian H generated by the automorphisms (2). We demonstrate explicitly only the part of the lowest orders in ghosts in the transformation generators which may contribute to the transformation of H, T_α, T^a_μ and some essential structure functions of the algebra (5):

$$
\begin{gathered}
U = e^{\hat{u}}\,, \quad \hat{u} f = \{ f\,,\, u \}, \\
u = F(q,p) + C''^{\mu} S^{\nu}_{\mu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\nu} + C'^{\alpha} \tilde{S}^{\beta}_{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\beta} (-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\beta} + \\
C''^{\nu} C''^{\mu} S^{\lambda\rho}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\rho} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\lambda} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\nu + \varepsilon_\rho} + C'^{\beta} C'^{\alpha} \tilde{S}^{\gamma\delta}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\delta} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\gamma} (-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\beta + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\delta} + \\
C'^{\alpha} C''^{\mu} \tilde{S}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\beta} + \tfrac{1}{2} C'^{\alpha} C''^{\mu} C''^{\rho} \tilde{S}^{\nu\lambda\beta}_{\mu\rho\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\lambda} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\beta} + \ldots, \\
\Xi = \tfrac{1}{2} C'^{\alpha} \Sigma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\nu} + \\
\tfrac{1}{6} C'^{\alpha} C''^{\lambda} \Sigma^{\mu\nu\rho}_{\alpha\lambda} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\rho} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\nu + \varepsilon_\alpha} + \tfrac{1}{2} C'^{\alpha} C'^{\beta} \Sigma^{\mu\nu\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\gamma} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\nu + \varepsilon_\alpha} + \ldots, \qquad (6) \\
\Psi = \zeta^{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\alpha} + C'^{\alpha} \Psi^{\beta\gamma}_{\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\gamma} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\beta} (-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\beta + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha} + C''^{\mu} \Psi^{\beta\nu}_{\mu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\beta} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\beta} + \ldots, \\
\Phi = \tfrac{1}{2} \Phi^{\nu\mu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\nu} + \\
C'^{\beta} \Phi^{\nu\mu\alpha}_{\beta} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\alpha} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\nu + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\beta} + C''^{\rho} \Phi^{\nu\mu\lambda}_{\rho} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\lambda} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\rho + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\lambda} + \ldots\,, \\
X_a = C'^{\beta} C'^{\alpha} X^{\mu\nu\lambda}_{a\alpha\beta} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\lambda} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha} + \ldots\,, \\
Y_a = C'^{\alpha} Y^{\mu\nu\lambda}_{a\alpha} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\lambda} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\nu} \bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu} (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu + \tilde{\varepsilon}_\alpha} + \ldots\,.
\end{gathered}
$$

The function $F(q,p)$ in u generates canonical transformation in the original phase space, $\tilde{S}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ and S^{ν}_{μ} describe rotation of the first– and second–class constraint basis respectively. $\Phi^{\nu\mu}$ and $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha}$ are responsible for the second order second–class constraint contributions to the Hamiltonian H and first–class constraints respectively, ζ^{α} gives the coefficient at the first–class constraint contribution to H:

$$
T^a_\mu \quad \rightarrow \quad \tilde{T}^a_\mu = (\exp S)^{\nu}_{\mu}\, T^b_\nu (q(q',p'), p(q',p')),
$$

$$
T_\alpha \quad \rightarrow \quad \tilde{T}_\alpha = \left(\exp \tilde{S} \right)^{\beta}_{\alpha} T_\beta (q(q',p'), p(q',p')) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \Sigma^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha} (T^b_\nu \delta^\rho_\mu - T^b_\mu \delta^\rho_\nu (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu \varepsilon_\nu}) T^a_\rho,
$$

$$
H \quad \rightarrow \quad \tilde{H} = H(q(q',p'), p(q',p')) + \zeta^\alpha T_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \Phi^{\mu\nu} (T^b_\nu \delta^\rho_\mu - T^b_\mu \delta^\rho_\nu (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu \varepsilon_\nu}) T^a_\rho.
$$

The structure functions (4) related to these constraints and Hamiltonian are transformed *inhomogeneously*, that just allows to abelianize their algebra. The explicit form of the transformation is rather cumbersome for these functions and we omit it, although it could be restored from relations (2),(6) in the same way as the transformation for the constraints and Hamiltonian.

3 Abelianization of second–class constraints

As the constraints T^1_μ are irreducible ones, they can be represented in the form

$$T^1_\mu = \Lambda^\nu_\mu \, \Phi_\nu , \quad \Phi_\nu = \xi_\nu - \varphi_\nu ,$$

where ξ_ν are some of canonical co-ordinates ξ, φ_ν do not depend on ξ_ν, ${\Lambda_\mu}^\nu$ is an invertible matrix. It follows from the constraint algebra that the Φ_ν commute among themselves, and, thus, one can choose them to be a set of new canonical variables q_ν. By making use of the admissible transformation U (with an appropriate matrix S^μ_ν in relations (2.6)), which results in a canonical one for the variables p^i, q_i, and rotates the constraints T^a_μ by applying the matrix ${\Lambda^{-1}}^\nu{}_\mu$, we make the constraints T^1_μ to take the form

$$T^1_\mu = q_\mu \, .$$

As q_μ together with T^2_μ form a set of second-class constraints, the T^2_μ are solvable for p^μ:

$$T^2_\mu = \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \Psi^\nu \, , \quad \Psi^\nu = p^\nu - \psi^\nu \, ,$$

where ψ^ν does not depend on p^μ. It follows from the constraint algebra that Ψ^ν commute among themselves, so that the q_μ, Ψ^μ commute canonically, and, thus, one can choose the Ψ^μ to be a set of canonical momenta (which we will denote further by just p^μ). Assuming that we have already applied the mentioned canonical transformation, we get the following structure for second-class constraints

$$T^1_\mu = q_\mu \, , \quad T^2_\mu = \Lambda_{\mu\nu} p^\nu = \lambda_{\mu\nu} p^\nu + O(\eta^2) \, , \tag{1}$$

where $\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ does not depend on η, $\eta = (q_\mu, p^\mu)$. The split involution relations, which determine the Poisson brackets of second–class constraints for $a = 1, b = 2$, yield for $\eta = 0$

$$\lambda_{\mu\nu} = (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu \varepsilon_\nu} \lambda_{\nu\mu} \, .$$

Recall that the $\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ is an invertible matrix. In general, the matrix $\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ depends on the variables complement to η, and the constraints T^2_μ are nonabelian even in linear approximation in η. For the sake of technical simplicity we restrict ourselves in further evaluation by the extra assumption that the only nonzero blocks of the matrix $\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ are (symmetric) Bose-Bose block and (antisymmetric) Fermi-Fermi one (i.e. Bosonic and Fermionic constraints are not mixed in linear approximation in η). It will be shown in Appendix A that such a matrix can be represented in the form

$$\lambda = X^T t X \, ,$$

where X is an invertible purely Bosonic matrix (which depends, in general, on the variables ξ), and t is a constant matrix whose Bose-Bose block is a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements equal to $+1, -1$, while its Fermi-Fermi block has a block-diagonal structure with matrices σ being the Jordan blocks,

$$\sigma = \imath \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

(Bose-Fermi blocks of the matrix t equal to zero). Let us rotate simultaneously the constraints T^1 and T^2 by applying the matrix $(X^T)^{-1}$, which results for the constraints in their taking the form

$$T^1 = (X^T)^{-1}q\,, \quad T^2 = tXp.$$

Further, let us apply the canonical transformation with W being a generating function

$$W = p'(X^T(\xi'_p, \xi_q))^{-1}q$$

so that in an explicit form the transformation reads

$$q = (X^T(\xi'_p, \xi_q))q' + O(q')p', \quad p = (X^T(\xi'_p, \xi_q))^{-1}p' + O(q')p'.$$

In new variables (we omit primes), the constraints T^1 and T^2 take the form

$$T^1 = \Lambda^1_{\mu\nu}q_\nu\,, \quad \Lambda^1_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} + O(p),$$

$$T^2 = \Lambda^2_{\mu\nu}p_\nu\,, \quad \Lambda^2_{\mu\nu} = t_{\mu\nu} + O(q).$$

Now, let us rotate simultaneously these constraints by applying the matrix $(\Lambda^1)^{-1}$. It is convenient to formulate the result as saying that the constraints T^1 and T^2 are reduced to take the form

$$T^1_\mu = q_\mu\,, \quad T^2_\mu = \Lambda_{\mu\nu}p^\nu = t_{\mu\nu}p^\nu + O(\eta^k)\,, \tag{2}$$

$$U^{a\rho}_{\mu\nu} = \overset{(k-2)}{U}{}^{a\rho}_{\mu\nu} + O(\eta^k)\,, \quad \overset{(k-2)}{U}{}^{a\rho}_{\mu\nu} \sim (\eta^{k-2}), \tag{3}$$

for $k = 2$.

Let us assume that we have already reduced the constraints T^1 and T^2 to take the form (2), (3) for $k = n > 2$. We are intended to show that, under the above assumption, one can reduce them to take the form (2),(3) for $k = n + 1$.

The constraint T^2 has the form

$$T^2_\mu = \bar{p}_\mu + t_{\nu\mu}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C^k_{\nu|(\lambda)_k|(\sigma)_{n-k}}(q_\lambda)^k(\bar{p}_\sigma)^{n-k} + O(\eta^{n+1})\,, \quad \bar{p}_\mu \equiv t_{\mu\nu}p^\nu, \tag{4}$$

where coefficients C^k can depend on the variables ξ. It is shown in Appendix B that the following representation holds

$$t_{\nu\mu}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C^k_{\nu|(\lambda)_k|(\sigma)_{n-k}}(q_\lambda)^k(\bar{p}_\sigma)^{n-k} = t_{\nu\mu}\sum_{k}^{n-1} \bar{C}^k_{\nu|(\lambda)_k(\sigma)_{n-k}}(q_\lambda)^k(\bar{p}_\sigma)^{n-k} + \overset{n-1}{a}{}_{\mu|[\sigma\rho]}q_\sigma\,, \tag{5}$$

$$\overset{n-1}{a}{}_{\mu|[\sigma\rho]} = -(-1)^{\varepsilon_\sigma\varepsilon_\rho}\overset{n-1}{a}{}_{\mu|[\rho\sigma]}\,, \quad \overset{n-1}{a}{}_{\mu|[\sigma\rho]} = O(\eta^{n-1})\,,$$

with some coefficients $\bar{C}^k_{\mu|(\lambda)_n}$. By making use of simultaneous rotation of the constraints by applying the matrix

$$\exp(-\overset{n-1}{a})\,, \quad \overset{n-1}{a}{}_{\mu\nu} = \overset{n-1}{a}{}_{\mu|[\sigma\nu]}\,q_\sigma,$$

we get the constraints T^1 in the form (2) again, while the constraints T^2 take the form (4) with $\bar{C}^k$ standing for C^k. Note that the property (3) of the structure coefficients remains stable under these transformations.

Let us return to the constraint algebra (2.5). For $a = b = 1$ we have

$$U^{1\rho}_{\mu\nu} q_\rho = 0\,, \quad U^{1\rho}_{\mu\nu} = m^{1||[\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu} q_\sigma\,.$$

These structure coefficients can be annulled with the help of an admissible transformation U (2.6) (with an appropriate function $S^{\lambda\rho}_{\mu\nu}$) , so that we can assume that

$$\overset{n-2}{U}{}^{1\rho}_{\mu\nu} = U^{1\rho}_{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

In the same way, it follows from the algebra relations (2.5) for $a = b = 2$ that

$$\overset{n-2}{U}{}^{2\rho}_{\mu\nu} = t_{\mu'\mu} t_{\nu'\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k\Big((-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu'}\varepsilon_{\nu'}} \bar{C}^k_{\mu'|\nu'(\lambda)_{k-1}(\sigma)_{n-k-1}\rho} - \\ \bar{C}^k_{\nu'|\mu'(\lambda)_{k-1}(\sigma)_{n-k-1}\rho}\Big)(q_\lambda)^{k-1}(\bar{p}_\sigma)^{n-k-1} + \overset{n-3}{m}{}^{2[\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu}\bar{p}_\sigma\,,$$

$$\overset{n-3}{m}{}^{2[\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu} \sim \eta^{n-3}.$$

Finally, the algebra relations (2.5) for $a = 1, b = 2$ yield

$$n t_{\mu'\mu} t_{\nu'\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Big((-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu'}\varepsilon_{\nu'}} C^k_{\mu'|\nu'(\lambda)_{k-1}(\sigma)_{n-k-1}} - C^k_{\nu'|\mu'(\lambda)_{k-1}(\sigma)_{n-k-1}}\Big)(q_\lambda)^k (p'_\sigma)^{n-k-1} = \\ - \overset{n-3}{m}{}^{2[\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu}\bar{p}_\sigma q_\rho. \tag{6}$$

By setting $q_\mu = \bar{p}_\mu$ in relations (6), we get

$$C^k_{\mu_1|\mu_2(\mu)_{n-1}} = (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\mu^1}\varepsilon_{\mu^2}} C^k_{\mu_2|\mu_1(\mu)_{n-1}} = s^k_{(\mu)_{n+1}}\,,$$

i.e. the coefficients C^k are totally (super)symmetric in all their indices.

Let us apply the following canonical transformation to all the variables

$$\xi \to e^{\hat{\varphi}}\xi\,, \quad \hat{\varphi}F = \{F\,, \varphi\}\,, \quad \varphi = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s^k_{(\lambda)_{k+1}(\sigma)_{n-k}}\,,$$

and then rotate the constraints by applying the matrix

$$(e^{-\overset{n}{b}})_{\mu\rho}\,, \quad \overset{n}{b}_{\mu\rho} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{n-k}{n+1} t_{\nu\mu} s^k_{\nu(\lambda)_k(\sigma)_{n-k-1}}(q_\lambda)^k(\bar{p})^{n-k-1}.$$

As a result, the constraints take the form

$$T^1_\mu = \Lambda^1_{\mu\nu} q_\nu\,, \quad \Lambda^1_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} + O(\eta^n),$$

$$T^2_\mu = \Lambda^2_{\mu\nu}\bar{p}_\nu\,, \quad \Lambda^2_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} + O(\eta^n).$$

Applying then the matrix $(\Lambda^1)^{-1}$, we reduce the constraints T^1 and T^2 to take the form (2) with $k = n+1$. All the transformations, which we have made use of, do not affect the property (3) (for $k = n$) of the structure coefficients.

Let us consider once more the consequences of the constraint algebra (2.5) for them. The algebra relations (2.5) for $a = b = 1$ yield

$$\overset{(n-2)}{U}{}^{1\rho}_{\mu\nu} = \overset{(n-3)}{m}{}^{1[\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu} q_\sigma\,,$$

which makes it possible to annul $\overset{(n-2)}{U}{}^{1\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ with the help of an admissible transformation (with an appropriate function $S^{\lambda\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ in u). The algebra relations (2.5) for $a = b = 2$ yield

$$\overset{(n-2)}{U}{}^{2\rho}_{\mu\nu} = \overset{(n-3)}{m}{}^{2[\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu}\bar{p}^{\sigma}\,,$$

and for $a = 1$, $b = 2$ the algebra relations (2.5) imply

$$\overset{(n-3)}{m}{}^{2[\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu}\bar{p}^{\sigma}q^{\rho} = 0. \tag{7}$$

It is shown in Appendix B that the condition (7), in its own turn, implies

$$\overset{(n-3)}{m}{}^{2[\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu} = U^{[\lambda\sigma\rho]}q_{\lambda} + V^{[\lambda\sigma\rho]}\bar{p}_{\lambda} \tag{8}$$

so that

$$\overset{(n-2)}{U}{}^{2\rho}_{\mu\nu} = U^{[\lambda\sigma\rho]}q_{\lambda}\bar{p}_{\sigma}.$$

Thus, the structure coefficients $\overset{(n-2)}{U}{}^{a\rho}_{\mu\nu}$ are rewritten in the form

$$\overset{(n-2)}{U}{}^{a\rho}_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^{\sigma\rho}_{\mu\nu}T^{a}_{\sigma} + O(\eta^{n-1})\,, \quad \kappa^{\sigma\rho}_{\mu\nu} = U^{[\lambda\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu}q_{\lambda}\,,$$

which can be annulled with the help of an admissible transformation U (2.6) (with an appropriate function $S^{\lambda\rho}_{\mu\nu}$). By making use of the induction method, we conclude that the second-class constraints can be reduced to take the abelian form

$$T^{1} = q_{\mu}\,, \quad T^{1} = t_{\mu\nu}p_{\nu} \equiv \bar{p}_{\mu}\,, \quad U^{a\rho}_{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

with the help of the admissible transformations.

4 Abelianization of first–class constraints

As the complete set of constraints is supposed to be irreducible, the first–class constraints T_{α} are solvable for some variables $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$ complement to η

$$T_{\beta} = \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} + t_{0|\alpha} + t^{\mu}_{11|\alpha}q_{\mu} + t^{\mu}_{12|\alpha}p_{\mu} + t_{2|\alpha})\,,$$

where $t_{0\alpha}$, $t^{\mu}_{11|\alpha}$ and $t^{\mu}_{12|\alpha}$ do not depend on $\mathcal{P}$ and η, while $t_{2|\alpha} = O(\eta^{2})$ do not depend on $\mathcal{P}$. By substituting this representation into the relation (2.5) of the constraint algebra, we find

$$\begin{gathered}\{\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} + t_{0|\alpha}\,,\, \mathcal{P}_{\beta} + t_{0|\beta}\} = 0\,, \\ t^{\mu}_{11|\alpha} = t^{\mu}_{12|\alpha} = 0\,.\end{gathered} \tag{1}$$

These relations mean that one can choose the functions $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} + t_{0|\alpha}$ to be a set of new canonical co-ordinates (which we denote again by just $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}$). By solving the constraints T_{α} for these new variables, and then rotating the constraints T_{α} with the help of the corresponding matrix Λ^{-1}, we reduce the constraints to take the form

$$T_{\alpha} = \mathcal{P}_{\alpha} + t_{\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial t_{\alpha}}{\partial \mathcal{P}_{\beta}} = 0, \quad t_{\alpha} = O(\eta^{2}).$$

In accordance with Appendix B, the functions t_α can be represented, as for their η-dependence, in the form

$$t_\alpha(\eta) = s_\alpha(q,\bar{p}) + t_\alpha^{[\mu\nu]} q_\mu \bar{p}_\nu = s_\alpha(q,\bar{p}) + t_\alpha^{[\mu\nu]} T^1_\mu T^2_\nu . \tag{2}$$

The second term in (2) can be compensated by an admissible transformation (2.6), with an appropriate structure coefficient $\Sigma^{\mu\nu}_\alpha$ in the generator Ξ, so that the constraints T_α take the form

$$T_\alpha = \mathcal{P}_\alpha + s_\alpha(q,\bar{p})\,, \quad s_\alpha = o(\eta^2)\,, \ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}_\alpha} s_\beta = 0 \tag{3}$$

(of course, s_α can depend on the co-ordinates conjugate to $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$, and on physical variables as well).

Let us substitute the representation (3) for T_α into the relation (2.5) of the constraint algebra

$$t_{\lambda\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\lambda} s_\alpha = \tilde{U}^{1\beta}_{\mu\alpha} T_\beta + U^\nu_{\mu\alpha} q_\nu, \quad -t_{\lambda\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\lambda} s_\alpha = \tilde{U}^{2\beta}_{\mu\alpha} T_\beta + U^\nu_{\mu\alpha} \bar{p}_\nu . \tag{4}$$

Next, let us set $\mathcal{P}_\alpha = 0$, $\bar{p}_\mu = q_\mu$ in (4), and then subtract the second equation from the first one

$$t_{\lambda\mu}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\lambda} s_\alpha + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\lambda} s_\alpha\right)\Big|_{\bar{p}=q} = \left(\tilde{U}^{1\beta}_{\mu\alpha} - \tilde{U}^{2\beta}_{\mu\alpha}\right) s_\beta \Big|_{\bar{p}=q,\,\mathcal{P}=0} .$$

The only solution to this equation, expandable in power series in η, is

$$s_\alpha = 0\,,$$

where we have taken into account that $s_\alpha = O\left(\eta^2\right)$. Now, the relation (4) of the constraint algebra is rewritten as

$$\tilde{U}^{1\beta}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\beta + U^\nu_{\mu\alpha} T^a_\nu = 0\,.$$

Let us represent $U^\nu_{\mu\alpha}$ in the form

$$U^\nu_{\mu\alpha} = \overset{(0)}{U}{}^\nu_{\mu\alpha} + U^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\beta\,, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}_\beta}\overset{(0)}{U}{}^\nu_{\mu\alpha} = 0.$$

Then we get

$$\overset{(0)}{U}{}^\nu_{\mu\alpha} q_\nu = \overset{(0)}{U}{}^\nu_{\mu\alpha}\bar{p}_\nu = 0, \quad (\tilde{U}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} + U^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha} T^a_\nu)\mathcal{P}_\beta = 0\,.$$

The general solution to the set of equation is (for solving of the first equation see Appendix B)

$$\tilde{U}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = -U^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha} T^a_\nu + \tilde{U}^{a[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta, \quad U^\nu_{\mu\alpha} = U^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\beta + U^{[\lambda\sigma\nu]}_{\mu\alpha} q_\lambda \bar{p}_\sigma .$$

The contributions of the coefficients $U^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ and $U^{[\lambda\sigma\nu]}_{\mu\alpha}$ can be compensated by the admissible transformation (2.6) (choosing respective structure functions $\tilde{S}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ in u and $\Sigma^{\mu\nu\rho}_{\alpha\lambda}$ in Ξ) which do not change the quantities $M \equiv \left(T^a_\mu, U^{a\rho}_{\mu\nu}, T_\alpha\right)$. Thus we can assume that

$$U^\nu_{\mu\alpha} = 0\,, \quad \tilde{U}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = \tilde{U}^{a[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta \equiv t_{\nu\mu}\bar{U}^{a\beta}_{\nu\alpha} .$$

Let us consider the contributions to $\tilde{U}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ to the zeroth and first order in η, which we further denote by

$$\overset{[1]}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = t_{\nu\mu}\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{a\beta}_{\nu\alpha} = \overset{[1]}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_{\delta}.$$

It follows from the relations (4) of the constraint algebra that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{p}_\mu}\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{1\beta}_{\nu\alpha} - (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu\varepsilon_\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{p}_\nu}\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{1\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = 0\,, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\mu}\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{2\beta}_{\nu\alpha} - (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu\varepsilon_\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\nu}\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{2\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = 0\,, \tag{5}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{p}_\mu}\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{2\beta}_{\nu\alpha} - (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu\varepsilon_\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{p}_\nu}\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{2\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = 0\,, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\mu}\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{1\beta}_{\nu\alpha} - (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu\varepsilon_\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\nu}\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{1\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = 0\,. \tag{6}$$

The equation (5) yields

$$\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{1\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{p}_\mu}\overset{[2]}{\varphi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}\,, \quad \overset{[1]}{U}{}^{1\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\mu}\left(-\overset{[2]}{\varphi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha} + \overset{[2]}{\psi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}\right)\,,$$

where we can assume

$$\overset{[2]}{\varphi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}\Big|_{\bar{p}=0} = \overset{[2]}{\psi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}\Big|_{q=0} = 0,$$

while the equation (6) yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{p}_\nu}\overset{[2]}{\psi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha} - (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu\varepsilon_\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{p}_\mu}\overset{[2]}{\psi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha} = 0\,,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{p}_\mu}\overset{[2]}{\psi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\mu}\overset{[2]}{\lambda}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}, \tag{7}$$

with some functions $\overset{[2]}{\lambda}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$. It follows from the equation (7) that the coefficients of power series expansion of $\psi_\alpha^{[2]\beta}$ in q_μ and $\bar{p}_\mu$ are totally symmetric in all indices. The functions $\overset{[2]}{\phi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ and $\overset{[2]}{\psi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ inherit the structure of the functions $\tilde{U}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ with respect to the variables $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$. Let us consider, for example, the functions $\overset{[2]}{\varphi}{}^{\alpha}_{\beta}$. It follows from the results of Appendix B that

$$\overset{[2]}{\varphi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha} = \overset{[2]}{\varphi}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta + \sum_{n=0}\overset{[2]}{s}{}^{n}_{\alpha|(\delta)_n\beta}(\mathcal{P}_\delta)^n.$$

By differentiating this relation with respect to $\bar{p}_\mu$, and multiplying then by $\mathcal{P}_\beta$ from the right, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{p}_\mu}\sum_n\overset{[2]}{s}{}^{n}_{\alpha|(\delta)_{n+1}}(\mathcal{P}_\delta)^{n+1} = 0 \;\;\Rightarrow\;\; \overset{[2]}{s}{}^{n}_{\alpha|(\delta)_{n+1}} = 0.$$

The functions $\overset{[2]}{\psi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ have similar structure

$$\overset{[2]}{\psi}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha} = \overset{[2]}{\psi}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta.$$

Finally, we obtain the following representation for $\overset{[1]}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$:

$$\overset{[1]}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = \{T^a_\mu\,, \overset{[2]}{U}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}\} + \overset{[0]}{U}{}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha}T^a_\nu\,,$$

$$\overset{[2]}{U}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha} = \left[\overset{[2]}{\varphi}_1{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\alpha} - \frac{n_q}{n_q + n_{\bar{p}}}\overset{[2]}{\psi}_1{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\alpha}\right]\mathcal{P}_\delta, \quad \overset{[0]}{U}{}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = t_{\mu\kappa}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\kappa}\left(\frac{n_q}{n_q + n_{\bar{p}}}\overset{[2]}{\psi}_1{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta\right)\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \bar{p}_\nu},$$

$$n_q = q_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\mu}, \quad n_{\bar{p}} = \bar{p}_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_\mu}.$$

It is easy to see that one can eliminate the $\overset{[1]}{U}{}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ with the help of the admissible transformation (2.6) (structure functions $\tilde{S}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$, $\tilde{S}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ in u) which preserves the functions entering M (which now contains $U^{\nu}_{\mu\alpha}$ as well).

So, we have $\tilde{U}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = O\left(\eta^2\right)$. Let us assume that we have obtained, with the help of admissible transformations, the representation

$$\tilde{U}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = \overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} + O(\eta^{n+1}), \quad \overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} \equiv t_{\nu\mu}\overset{(n)}{\bar{U}}{}^{a\beta}_{\nu\alpha} = t_{\nu\mu}\overset{(n)}{\bar{U}}{}^{a[\delta\beta]}_{\nu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta \sim \eta^n, \;\; n \geq 2,$$

$$\tilde{U}^{a\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \overset{(n-2)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} + O(\eta^{n-1}), \quad \overset{(n-2)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} \equiv t_{\nu'\nu}t_{\mu'\mu}\overset{(n-2)}{\bar{U}}{}^{a\beta\rho}_{\mu'\nu'\alpha} \sim \eta^{n-2}.$$

It follows from the relation (2.5) of the constraint algebra for $a = b = 1$ that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_\mu}\overset{(n)}{\bar{U}}{}^{1[\delta\beta]}_{\nu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta - (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu\varepsilon_\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_\nu}\overset{(n)}{\bar{U}}{}^{a[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta = \overset{(n-2)}{\bar{U}}{}^{1\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha}q_\rho. \tag{8}$$

The general solution to these equations is

$$\overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{1\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = \{q_\mu\,, \overset{[n+1]}{\varphi}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta\} + \overset{[n-1]}{\varphi}{}^{\lambda[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta q_\lambda,$$

$$\overset{(n-2)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{1\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \{q_\mu\,, \overset{[n-1]}{\varphi}{}^{\rho[\delta\beta]}_{\nu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta\} - (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu\varepsilon_\nu}\{q_\nu\,, \overset{[n-1]}{\varphi}{}^{\rho[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta\} + \overset{(n-3)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{1\beta[\lambda\rho]}_{\mu\nu\alpha}q_\lambda.$$

Because of their structure, these functions can be compensated with the help of an admissible transformation (structure functions $\tilde{S}^{\beta}_{\alpha}$, $\tilde{S}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ in u), so that one can assume $\overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{1\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = \overset{(n-2)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{1\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = 0$. Let us represent the functions $\overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{2\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ in the form

$$\overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{2\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = \overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{2[\delta\beta][\nu\lambda]}_{\mu\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta q_\nu \bar{p}_\lambda + t_{\mu'\mu}\overset{(n)}{V}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\mu'\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta\,, \tag{9}$$

where the functions $\overset{(n)}{V}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\mu'\alpha}$ have the structure

$$\overset{(n)}{V}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha} = \sum_k C^{[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha|(\lambda)_k(\sigma)_{n-k}}(q_\lambda)^k(\bar{p}_\sigma)^{n-k}.$$

The first term in (9) can be eliminated by the transformation (2.2), (2.6) (with appropriate structure functions $\tilde{S}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ in u), which leads to the appearance of function $\overset{(n-2)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{1\beta\lambda}_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ of the form

$$\overset{(n-2)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{1\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \{q_{[\mu}\,, \overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{[\delta\beta][\lambda\rho]}_{\nu]\alpha}\mathcal{P}_\delta\}q_\lambda$$

which, in its own turn, can be eliminated by the admissible transformation (2.2), (2.6) where the essential contribution is made by structure function $\tilde{S}^{\nu\lambda\beta}_{\mu\rho\alpha}$ in u.

Further, the relations (2.5) of the constraint algebra for $a = 1$, $b = 2$ and $a = b = 2$ yield

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_\mu} \overset{(n)}{V}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\nu\alpha}\, \mathcal{P}_\delta - (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu \varepsilon_\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_\nu} \overset{(n)}{V}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha}\, \mathcal{P}_\delta = \overset{(n-2)}{\bar{U}}{}^{2\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} \bar{p}_\rho, \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\mu} \overset{(n)}{V}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\nu\alpha}\, \mathcal{P}_\delta - (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu \varepsilon_\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\nu} \overset{(n)}{V}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha}\, \mathcal{P}_\delta = - \overset{(n-2)}{\bar{U}}{}^{2\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} q_\rho. \tag{11}$$

By setting $q_\rho = \bar{p}_\rho$ in (10) and (11), and then summing up them, we get the equation which implies the functions $C^{k[\delta\beta]}_{\mu\alpha|(\lambda)_n}$ to be totally symmetric in the indices μ, $(\lambda)_n$.

Then the equations (10), (11) yield

$$\overset{(n-2)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{2\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu} T^a_\rho = 0.$$

Finally, we find

$$\overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{2\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = t_{\nu\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\nu} \overset{(n+1)}{\psi}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\alpha} \mathcal{P}_\delta\,, \tag{12}$$

$$\overset{(n-2)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{2\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = \overset{(n-4)}{V}{}^{\beta[\lambda\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu\alpha} q_\lambda \bar{p}_\sigma.$$

The set of functions $\overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{1\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = 0$, $\overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{2\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ is represented in the form

$$\overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = \{T^a_\mu\,, \overset{(n+1)}{U}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha}\} + \overset{(n-1)}{U}{}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha} T^a_\nu\,, \tag{13}$$

$$\overset{(n+1)}{U}{}^{\beta}_{\alpha} = - \frac{n_q}{n+1} \overset{(n+1)}{\psi}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\alpha} \mathcal{P}_\delta\,, \quad \overset{(n-1)}{U}{}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = \frac{1}{n+1} t_{\lambda\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\lambda} \overset{(n+1)}{\psi}{}^{[\delta\beta]}_{\alpha} \mathcal{P}_\delta \frac{\vec{\partial}}{\partial \bar{p}_\nu}\,.$$

By making use of an admissible transformation (2.2), (2.6), one eliminates the functions (12), (13) $\overset{(n-4)}{V}{}^{\beta[\lambda\sigma\rho]}_{\mu\nu\alpha}$, $\overset{(n+1)}{U}{}^{\alpha}_{\beta}$, $\overset{(n-1)}{U}{}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ by structure functions (see (2.6)) $\tilde{S}^{\nu\lambda\beta}_{\mu\rho\alpha}$, $\tilde{S}^{\alpha}_{\beta}$, $\tilde{S}^{\nu\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$ in u, respectively. Thus we obtain

$$\overset{(n)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha} = 0\,, \qquad \overset{(n-2)}{\tilde{U}}{}^{a\beta\rho}_{\mu\nu\alpha} = 0.$$

As a concluding step, the relations (2.5) of the constraint algebra yield

$$\tilde{U}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{P}_\gamma = 2 W^{[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta} q_\mu \bar{p}_\nu.$$

By representing $W^{[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta}$ in the form

$$W^{[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta} = \omega^{[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta} + W^{\gamma[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{P}_\gamma\,, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}_\gamma} \omega^{[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta} = 0\,,$$

we find the equations

$$\omega^{[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta} q_\mu \bar{p}_\nu = 0\,, \quad \left(\tilde{U}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} - 2 W^{\gamma[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta} q_\mu \bar{p}_\nu \right) \mathcal{P}_\gamma = 0\,,$$

whose general solution is

$$\tilde{U}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = 2 W^{\gamma[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta} q_\mu \bar{p}_\nu + \tilde{U}^{[\delta\gamma]}_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{P}_\delta\,,$$

$$W^{[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta} = W^{\gamma[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{P}_\gamma + \omega^{[\sigma\mu\nu]}_{1\alpha\beta} q_\sigma + \omega^{[\sigma\mu\nu]}_{2\alpha\beta} \bar{p}_\sigma \, .$$

These structures can be completely eliminated with the help of admissible transformations (2.6): $W^{\gamma[\mu\nu]}_{\alpha\beta}$, $\tilde{U}^{[\delta\gamma]}_{\alpha\beta}$, $\omega^{[\sigma\mu\nu]}_{a\alpha\beta}$ are compensated by $\Sigma^{\mu\nu\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}$, $\tilde{S}^{\delta\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}$, $X^{[\sigma\mu\nu]}_{a\alpha\beta}$ respectively.

So, by making use of the admissible transformations, we have completely abelianized the constraint algebra

$$T^1_\mu = q_\mu \, , \quad T^2_\mu = t_{\mu\nu} p_\nu \, , \quad T_\alpha = \mathcal{P}_\alpha \, ,$$

and all the structure coefficients vanish.

5 Abelianization of Hamiltonian

Let us represent the Hamiltonian H in the form

$$H = H_s + H^{[\mu\nu]} q_\mu \bar{p}_\nu \, , \tag{1}$$

where coefficients of power series expansion of H_s with respect to q_μ and $\bar{p}_\mu$ are totally symmetric in all indices. The second term in r.h.s. of the representation (1) can be eliminated with the help of an admissible transformation ($H^{[\mu\nu]}$ is compensated by $\Phi^{\mu\nu}$ entering Φ (2.6)). The relation (2.5) of the constraint algebra yields

$$t_{\lambda\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_\lambda} H_s = V^\nu_\mu q_\nu \, , \quad t_{\lambda\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\lambda} H_s = -V^\nu_\mu \bar{p}_\nu . \tag{2}$$

By summing up the equations (2), and setting then $\bar{p}_\mu = q_\mu$, we find that H_s is quite independent of q_μ and $\bar{p}_\mu$. As a consequence,

$$V^\nu_\mu T^a_\nu = 0.$$

The general solution to this equation is

$$V^\nu_\mu = V^{[\lambda\sigma\nu]}_\mu q_\lambda \bar{p}_\sigma$$

which structure can be eliminated with the help of an admissible transformation $\Phi^{[\lambda\sigma\nu]}_\mu$ (2.6).

Let us represent H_s in the form

$$H_s = H_0 + H^\alpha \mathcal{P}_\alpha \, , \tag{3}$$

where H_0 does not depend on $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$. The second term in r.h.s. of (3) can be eliminated with the help of an admissible transformation ζ^α (2.6). The relations (2.5) of the constraint algebra yield

$$\{H_0 \, , \mathcal{P}_\alpha\} = \tilde{V}^\beta_\alpha \mathcal{P}_\beta - 2W^{\mu\nu}_\alpha q_\nu \bar{p}_\mu .$$

It follows hereof that H_0 depends only on the canonical variables ω complement to q_μ, $\bar{p}_\mu$, Q_α, $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ (Q_α are variables canonically conjugate to $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$):

$$H_0 = H_{ph}(\omega) \, ,$$

and, hence, the relations hold

$$\tilde{V}^\beta_\alpha \mathcal{P}_\beta - 2W^{\mu\nu}_\alpha q_\nu \bar{p}_\mu = 0.$$

The general solution to these equations is

$$\tilde{V}_{\alpha}^{\beta} = 2W_{\alpha}^{\beta[\mu\nu]} q_{\nu}\bar{p}_{\mu} + \tilde{V}_{\alpha}^{[\delta\beta]}\mathcal{P}_{\delta}\,,$$

$$W_{\alpha}^{\mu\nu} = W_{\alpha}^{\beta[\mu\nu]}\mathcal{P}_{\beta} + \omega_{1\alpha}^{[\sigma\mu\nu]} q_{\sigma} + \omega_{2\alpha}^{[\sigma\mu\nu]}\bar{p}_{\sigma}\,.$$

These structure coefficients can be eliminated with the help of an admissible transformation (2.6) with appropriate functions $\Phi_{\alpha}^{\beta[\mu\nu]}$, $Y_{a\alpha}^{\sigma\mu\nu}$.

So, we conclude that the split involution constraint algebra can be made completely abelian in the form

$$T_{\mu}^{1} = q_{\mu}\,, \quad T_{m}^{2}u = t_{\mu\nu}p_{\nu}\,, \quad T_{\alpha} = \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}\,, \quad H = H_{ph}(\omega)\,,$$

by making use of the admissible transformations, and all the structure coefficients of the algebra vanish.

6 Structure of general solution

First of all, let us note that a solution to the generating equations certainly exists. Indeed, by making use of the natural automorphism transformations, we can reduce the constraint algebra to take the abelian form. In the case, an obvious solution of the canonical structure does exist

$$\Omega^{a} \equiv \Omega_{0}^{a} = C''^{\mu}T_{\mu}^{a}\,, \quad \Omega \equiv \Omega_{0} = C'^{\alpha}T_{\alpha}\,, \quad \mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}_{0} = H_{ph}(\omega)\,, \tag{1}$$

$$K = \Lambda = 0.$$

By applying the inverse of the automorphism transformation, we obtain a solution to the generating equations, corresponding to the original constraint algebra.

Now, let us describe the structure of the general solution to the generating equations, which we seek for in the form of power series expansions with respect to the ghost momenta $\bar{\mathcal{P}}'_{\alpha}$, $\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu}$:

$$\Omega^{a} = \Omega_{0}^{a} + \sum_{n=1}\Omega_{n}^{a}\,, \quad \Omega_{n}^{a} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n} C''^{k+1}C'^{n-k}\bar{\mathcal{P}}'^{n-k}\bar{\mathcal{P}}''^{k},$$

$$\Omega = \Omega_{0} + \sum_{n=1}\Omega_{n}\,, \quad \Omega_{n} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n} C''^{k}C'^{n-k+1}\bar{\mathcal{P}}'^{n-k}\bar{\mathcal{P}}''^{k},$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{0} + \sum_{n=0}\mathcal{H}_{n}\,, \quad \mathcal{H}_{n} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n} C''^{k}C'^{n-k}\bar{\mathcal{P}}'^{n-k}\bar{\mathcal{P}}''^{k},$$

$$K = \sum_{n=1}K_{n}\,, \quad K_{n} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C''^{k}C'^{n-k+1}\bar{\mathcal{P}}'^{n-k-1}\bar{\mathcal{P}}''^{k+2},$$

$$\Lambda = \sum_{n=1}\Lambda_{n}\,, \quad \Lambda_{n} \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C''^{k}C'^{n-k}\bar{\mathcal{P}}'^{n-k-1}\bar{\mathcal{P}}''^{k+2}.$$

where we have taken into account the conservation of gh' and gh''. We further assume that we have applied the automorphism transformation which abelianizes the constraint algebra and preserves gh' and gh'', so that the expressions for Ω^a_0, Ω_0 and $\mathcal{H}_0$ are given by the formulae (1) , and

$$\Omega^a_1 = \Omega_1 = \mathcal{H}_1 = K_1 = \Lambda_1 = 0\,.$$

Let us assume we have checked that any solution can be reduced, with the help of an automorphism transformation preserving gh$'$ and gh$'$”, to take the form

$$\Omega^a = \Omega^a_0 + \Omega^a_m + \Omega^a_{m+1} + O\left(\bar{\mathcal{P}}'^{m-k+2}, \bar{\mathcal{P}}''^{k}\right)\,,$$

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 + \Omega_m + \Omega_{m+1} + \left(\bar{\mathcal{P}}'^{m-k+2}, \bar{\mathcal{P}}''^{k}\right)\,,$$

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}_m + \mathcal{H}_{m+1} + O\left(\bar{\mathcal{P}}'^{m-k+2}, \bar{\mathcal{P}}''^{k}\right)\,,$$

$$K = K_m + O\left(\bar{\mathcal{P}}'^{m-k}, \bar{\mathcal{P}}''^{k}\right)\,, \quad \Lambda = \Lambda_m + O\left(\bar{\mathcal{P}}'^{m-k}, \bar{\mathcal{P}}''^{k}\right)\,, \quad m \geq 1.$$

It follows from the generating equations (2.3) that

$$W^a\Omega^b_m + W^b\Omega^a_m = 0\,, \quad W^a \equiv T^a_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\mu}\,, \tag{2}$$

$$(-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu}\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\mu\left[\{T^a_\mu\,,\,\Omega^b_m\} + \{T^b_\mu\,,\,\Omega^a_m\}\right] + W^a\Omega^b_{m+1} + W^b\Omega^a_{m+1} = 0\,. \tag{3}$$

The general solution to the equations (2) is obtained in Appendix B in the following form:

$$\Omega^a_m = W^a X_m + \Omega'^a_m\,, \quad \Omega'^a_m \sim C''^\mu Z^a_{m\mu}, \quad Z^a_{m\mu} \sim \left(C'\bar{\mathcal{P}}'\right)^m\,,$$

$Z^a_{m\mu}$ do not depend on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\mu$, and, thus, on C''^μ. By making use of the automorphism transformation (with $u = X_m$), which eliminates the contribution $W^a X_m$, we come to $\Omega^a_m = \Omega'^a_m$, and then it follows from the equation (3) that

$$\{T^a_\mu\,,\,Z^b_{m\mu}\} + \{T^b_\mu\,,\,Z^a_{m\mu}\} + (-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu\varepsilon_\nu}(\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) = Z^{a\lambda}_{\mu\nu}T^b_\lambda + Z^{b\lambda}_{\mu\nu}T^a_\lambda\,,$$

where $Z^{a\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ do not depend on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\mu$, C''^μ, and are determined by the condition

$$\Omega^a_{m+1}\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_\lambda}\bigg|_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}''=0} = -\frac{1}{2}C''^\mu C''^\nu(-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu+\varepsilon_\mu\varepsilon_\nu}Z^{a\lambda}_{\mu\nu}\,.$$

These equations have exactly the same structure as the equations for $\overset{(n)}{\widetilde{U}}{}^{a\beta}_{\mu\alpha}$, which have been solved in Section 4. By repeating word by word the same reasoning, one shows the Ω'^a_m to have the structure which can be eliminated with the help of the automorphism transformations.

The generating equations (2.3) yield

$$W^a\Omega_m = 0\,, \tag{4}$$

$$(-1)^{\varepsilon_\mu}C''^\mu\{T^a_\mu\,,\,\Omega_m\} + W^a\Omega_{m+1} = 0. \tag{5}$$

The general solution is

$$\Omega_m = W^2W^1\,Y_m + \Omega'_m\,,$$

where Ω'_m does not depend on C''^{μ} and $\bar{\mathcal{P}}''_{\mu}$, and the coefficients of its power series expansion with respect to q_μ and $\bar{p}_\mu$ are totally symmetric in all indices. By eliminating the contribution $W^2W^1Y_m$ to Ω_m with the help of the automorphism transformation $\Xi = Y_m$ (2.6), we derive form the equations (5) that Ω'_m is quite independent of q_μ and $\bar{p}_\mu$.

Now, the generating equations (2.3) yield

$$W\Omega'_m = 0\,, \quad W = T_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\mathcal{P}}'_\alpha}, \tag{6}$$

$$W^2W^1K_m = 0. \tag{7}$$

The general solution to these equations is

$$\Omega'_m = WY'_m\,, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial C''}Y'_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\mathcal{P}}''}Y'_m = \frac{\partial}{\partial T^a}Y'_m = 0\,, \quad K_m = W^1Z_{1m} + W^2Z_{2m}.$$

These structures can be eliminated with the help of the automorphism transformations (2.3) generated by X_a.

The equations for $\mathcal{H}_m$ and Λ_m, which follow from the generating equations (2.3), coincide exactly with the equations (6), (7) for Ω_m and K_m, and their solution has the same structure which can be eliminated with the help of the automorphism transformations.

By applying the induction method, we come to the following results: i) a solution to the generating equations (2.3) does exist; ii) the characteristic arbitrariness of the general solution is described as a set of all possible automorphism transformations, so that, in particular, any solution can be constructed by applying an automorphism transformation to the canonical solution (1).

Acknowledgements

The work is supported by the INTAS–RFBR grant no 95-0829. The work of I.A.B. is partially supported by RFBR grant 96-01-00482. The work of S.L.L. is partially supported by RFBR grant 98-02-16261. The work of I.V.T. is partially supported by RFBR grant 96-02-17314.

Appendix A

In this Appendix we prove the diagonalization lemma for symmetric and antisymmetric matrices, which we have made use of in Section 3.

Let G be a Grassmann algebra over the field R of real numbers, with η^α, $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, being a set of generatrices. We call the elements of G "real" as well. Given $p \times p$ real invertible matrices $S_{\mu\nu}$ and $A_{\mu\nu}$ with the properties

$$S_{\mu\nu} = S_{\nu\mu}, \quad A_{\mu\nu} = -A_{\nu\mu}, \quad \varepsilon\left(S_{\mu\nu}\right) = \varepsilon\left(A_{\mu\nu}\right) = 0,$$

then there exist real invertible matrices X_1 and X_2, $\varepsilon\left(X_1\right) = \varepsilon\left(X_2\right) = 0$, such that

$$\left(X_1^T S X_1\right)_{\mu\nu} = \theta_\mu \delta_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Theta_{\mu\nu}\,, \tag{1}$$

$$\left(X_1^T A X_1\right)_{\mu\nu} = \Sigma_{\mu\nu}\,, \quad \Sigma_{\mu\nu} \equiv diag(\sigma, \ldots, \sigma)\,, \tag{2}$$

where $\theta_\mu = +1, -1$, and the number of positive (negative) θ_μ coincide with the number of positive (negative) eigenvalues of the matrix $S_0 = S(\eta = 0)$.

Proof.

i) **Matrix S.**

Let us expand the matrix S in (finite) power series with respect to the generatrices η^α

$$S = \sum_{k=0} S_{2k}, \quad S_{2k} \sim (\eta)^{2k}.$$

As the S_0 is a real symmetric matrix, it can be diagonalized with the help of an orthogonal real transformation $O^{(0)}$. Let us consider the matrix

$$S^{(0)} = EO^{(0)T} S O^{(0)} E,$$

where $E_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu \delta_{\mu\nu}$ is an invertible matrix. The matrix S^0 has the following structure

$$S^{(0)} = S_0^{(0)} + S_2^{(0)} + O(\eta^4)\,, \quad S_2^{(0)} \sim \eta^2\,,$$

$$S_{0|\mu\nu} = \theta_\mu |\lambda_\mu| e_\mu^2 \delta_{\mu\nu} \equiv \; s^{(0)} \delta_{\mu\nu}\,, \quad \theta_\mu = \frac{\lambda_\mu}{|\lambda_\mu|}\,,$$

where λ_μ are the eigenvalues of the matrix S^0. The numbers e_μ are chosen to satisfy the condition that there are no equal numbers among $s_\mu^{(0)}$ (which can always be fulfilled).

Let us consider the matrix

$$S^{(2)} = O^{(2)T} S^{(0)} O^{(2)}\,,$$

$$O_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} = \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{S_{2|\mu\nu}^{(0)}}{s_\mu^{(0)} - s_\nu^{(0)}}\,, \;\; \mu \neq \nu\,, \quad O_{\mu\mu}^{(2)} = 1 \text{ (no sum over.}\mu)$$

Its η-power series expansion has the form

$$S^{(2)} = S_0^{(2)} + S_4^{(2)} + O(\eta^6)\,, \quad S_4^{(2)} \sim (\eta)^4,$$

$$S_{0|\mu\nu}^{(2)} = s_\mu^{(2)} \delta_{\mu\nu}\,, \quad s_\mu^{(2)} = s_\mu^{(0)} S_{2|\mu\mu}^{(0)} \equiv \theta_\mu \kappa_\mu^{(2)}\,, \quad \kappa_\mu^{(2)} = |\lambda_\mu| e_\mu^2 + \theta_\mu S_{2|\mu\mu}^{(0)}.$$

Further, let us introduce the matrix $S^{(4)}$

$$S^{(4)} = O^{(4)T} S^{(2)} O^{(4)}\,,$$

$$O_{\mu\nu}^{(4)} = \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{S_{4|\mu\nu}^{(2)}}{s_\mu^{(0)} - s_\nu^{(0)}}\,, \;\; \mu \neq \nu\,, \quad O_{\mu\mu}^{(4)} = 1\,,$$

which diagonalizes $S^{(2)}$ already in the fourth order in η. By continuing this process, and taking into account that $(\eta)^{n+1} = 0$, we get at the $2m$-th step

$$\left[\left(O^{(0)} E O^{(2)} \ldots O^{(2m)} \right)^T S \left(O^{(0)} E O^{(2)} \ldots O^{(2m)} \right) \right]_{\mu\nu} = \kappa_\mu^{(2m)} \theta_\mu \delta_{\mu\nu}\,,$$

$$\kappa_\mu^{(2m)} = |\lambda_\mu| e_\mu^2 + O(\eta^2) = \sigma_\mu^2\,, \quad \sigma_\mu = e_\mu \sqrt{|\lambda_\mu|} + O(\eta^2).$$

It is now easy to see that one can choose

$$X_{1|\mu\nu} = \left(O^{(0)} E O^{(2)} \ldots O^{(2m)} \right)_{\mu\nu} \frac{1}{\sigma_\nu}$$

to serve as the matrix X_1.

Note that our result extends to cover the case of a Grassmann algebra over the field C of complex numbers, as follows: given a symmetric invertible matrix $S_{\mu\nu}$, whose elements belong to G, and $\varepsilon(S_{\mu\nu}) = 0$, then there exists an invertible matrix $X_{\mu\nu}$, whose elements belong to G, and $\varepsilon(X_{\mu\nu}) = 0$, such that the relation holds

$$S = X^T X.$$

ii) **Matrix A.**

As the matrix A, by assumption, is invertible, p is an even number: $p = 2q$. Let us expand the matrix A in power series with respect to the generatrices

$$A = \sum_{k=0} A_k \,, \quad A_k \sim (\eta)^{2k}.$$

As the A_0 is a real antisymmetric matrix, it can be reduced, with the help of an orthogonal transformation $O^{(0)}$, to take the canonical form

$$O^{(0)T} A_0 O^{(0)} = diag(\lambda_1 \sigma, \ldots, \lambda_q \sigma) \,, \quad \lambda_l > 0 \,, \;\; l = 1, \ldots, q.$$

Let us introduce the matrix

$$A^{(0)} = E O^{(0)T} A O^{(0)} E,$$

$$E = diag(e_1 I_2, \ldots \; e_q I_2) \,,$$

where I_2 is the unit 2×2 matrix. The matrix A^0 expands in power series in η in the form

$$A^{(0)} = diag(a_1^{(0)}\sigma, \ldots, a_q^{(0)}\sigma) + A_2^{(0)} + O\left((\eta)^4\right) \,, \quad A_2^{(0)} \sim (\eta)^2,$$

$$a_l^{(0)} = \lambda_l e_l^2 > 0 \,.$$

The numbers e_l are chosen in such a way that all the numbers $a_l^{(0)}$ are different. It is further convenient to split the indices μ, ν as follows

$$\mu = (a, l) \,, \quad \nu = (b, l) \,, \quad a, b = 1, 2 \,, \quad l, l' = 1, \ldots, q \,,$$

$$A^{(0)}_{2|\mu\nu} = A^{(0)}_{2|(a,l)(b,l')} \,, \quad A^{(0)}_{2|(a,l)(b,l)} = A^{(0)}_{2|l}\,\sigma \,, \quad A^{(0)}_{2|(a,l)(b,l')} = -A^{(0)}_{2|(b,l')(a,l)} .$$

Let us introduce the matrix $A^{(2)}$:

$$A^{(2)} = O^{(2)T} A^{(0)} O^{(2)} \,, \quad O^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} + O^{(2)}_{2|\mu\nu},$$

$$O^{(2)}_{2|(a,l)(b,l)} = 0 \,, \quad O^{(2)}_{2|(a,l)(b,l')} = \frac{a_l^{(0)} \sigma_{ac} A^{(0)}_{2|(c,l)(b,l')} + A^{(0)}_{2|(a,l)(c,l')} \sigma_{cb} a^{(0)}_{l'}}{(a_l^{(0)})^2 - (a_{l'}^{(0)})^2} \,, \;\; l \neq l' \,,$$

which expands in power series in η as

$$A^{(2)} = diag(a_1^{(2)}\sigma, \ldots, a_q^{(2)}\sigma) + A_4^{(2)} + O\left((\eta)^6\right) \,, \quad A_4^{(2)} \sim (\eta)^4 \,,$$

$$a_l^{(2)} = a_l^{(0)} + A^{(0)}_{2|l} \,.$$

Then we act quite in the same way as in the case of diagonalization of a symmetric matrix, so that at the $2m$-th step we have

$$\left(O^{(0)}EO^{(2)}\dots O^{(2m)}\right)^T A\left(O^{(0)}EO^{(2)}\dots O^{(2m)}\right) = diag(a_1^{(2m)}\sigma,\dots,a_q^{(2m)}\sigma)\,,$$

$$a_l^{(2m)} = \lambda_l e_l^2 + O(\eta^2) = \kappa_l^2\,,\quad \kappa_l = e_l\sqrt{|\lambda_l|} + O(\eta^2)\,.$$

Then one can choose

$$X_{2|\mu\nu} = \left(O^{(0)}EO^{(2)}\dots O^{(2m)}\right)_{\mu\lambda}\left(diag\left(\frac{1}{\kappa_1}I_2,\dots,\frac{1}{\kappa_1}I_2\right)\right)_{\lambda\nu}$$

to serve as the matrix X_2.

The result (2) remains valid in the case of Grassmann algebra over the field C of complex numbers.

Appendix B

In this Appendix we prove the existence lemma for special representations of functions of two sets of variables, which we have made use of in Section 3.

i) We shall need the following well known result. Given the operator d,

$$d = x^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^*}\,,\quad d^2 = 0\,,\quad \varepsilon(x_i^*) = \varepsilon(x^i) + 1 \equiv \varepsilon_i + 1\,.$$

the general solution to the equation

$$dX = 0$$

can be represented in the form

$$X = dY + C\,,\quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial x_i^*} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial x^i} = 0\,,$$

where $Y = Y(x, x^*)$ is an arbitrary function, and C cannot be represented in the form of du.

Let us introduce the pair of operators d_a

$$d_1 = x^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^*}\,,\quad d_2 = y^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^*}\,,\quad \varepsilon(x^i) = \varepsilon(y^i)\,,$$

to form the algebra

$$d^a d^b + d^b d^a = 0.$$

Let us construct the general solution to the equation

$$d_2 d_1 X = 0\,. \tag{1}$$

Let us expand X in power series in x and y:

$$X = \sum_{m,n} X_{m,n}\,,\quad X_{m,n} \sim (x)^m(y)^n.$$

It is obvious that each of $X_{m,n}$ satisfies the equation (1):

$$d_2 d_1 X_{m,n} = 0\,.$$

By making use of the above result, one can write down

$$\begin{array}{rclrcl} d_1 X_{m\,,n} & = & d_2 Y_{m+1,n-1}\,, & d_2 d_1 Y_{m+1,n-1} & = & 0, \\ d_1 Y_{m+1,n-1} & = & d_2 Y_{m+2,n-2}\,, & d_2 d_1 Y_{m+2,n-2} & = & 0, \\ & \ldots & & & & \\ d_1 Y_{m+n-1,1} & = & d_2 Y_{m+n,\,0}\,, & d_2 d_1 Y_{m+n,\,0} & = & 0, \end{array}$$

$$d_1 Y_{m+n,\,0} = s^{m,n}_{(j)_{m+n+1}} (x_j)^{m+n+1} = d_1 (x_i^* s^{m,n}_{i(j)_{m+n}} (x_j)^{m+n})\,,$$

where $s^{m,n}$ are constants. For $Y_{m+n,0}$ we get

$$Y_{m+n,0} = x_i^* s^{m,n}_{i(j)_{m+n}} (x_j)^{m+n} + d_1 Z_{m+n-1,0}.$$

Let us substitute this expression into the equation for $Y_{m+n-1,1}$

$$d_1 \left(Y_{m+n-1,1} - d_2 Z_{m+n-1,0} \right) = s^{m,n}_{(j)_{m+n} l} (x_j)^{m+n} y_l = d_1 (x_i^* s^{m,n}_{i(j)_{m+n-1} l} (x_j)^{m+n-1} y_l)\,,$$

which yields

$$Y_{m+n-1,1} = d_2 Z_{m+n-1,0} + d_1 Z_{m+n-2,1} + x_i^* s^{m,n}_{i(j)_{m+n-1} l} (x_j)^{m+n-1} y_l.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$X_{m,n} = d_1 Z_{m-1,n} + d_2 Z_{m,n-1} + x_i^* s^{m,n}_{i(j)_m (l)_n} (x_j)^m (y_l)^n. \tag{2}$$

The third term in (2) cannot be represented in the form of $d_1\, F$ or $d_2\, F$ because

$$d_1 \left(x_i^* s^{m,n}_{i(j)_m (l)_n} (x_j)^m (y_l)^n \right) \neq 0\,, \quad d_2 \left(x_i^* s^{m,n}_{i(j)_m (l)_n} (x_j)^m (y_l)^n \right) \neq 0\,.$$

iii) Let us consider the set of equations

$$d_a X = 0. \tag{3}$$

For $a = 1$ we have

$$X = d_1 Y + s(y)\,, \quad s(y) = \sum_{n=0} s^{0,n}_{(l)_n} (y_l)^n\,.$$

It follows from the equation (3) for $a = 2$ that

$$Y = d_1 Z_1 + d_2 Z_2 + x_i^* \sum_{m=1,n=0} s^{m,n}_{i(j)_m (l)_n} (x_j)^m (y_l)^n\,,$$

$$X = d_2 d_1 Z + s(x,y)\,, \quad s(x,y) = \sum_{m,n=0} s^{m,n}_{(j)_m (l)_n} (x_j)^m (y_l)^n\,.$$

The function $s(x,y)$ can not be represented in the form of $d_2 d_1 F$. Indeed, let

$$s(x,y) = d_2 d_1 F. \tag{4}$$

By setting $y_i = x_i$ in (4), we get

$$s(x,x) = 0,$$

which implies $s(x,y)=0$. Finally, let us consider the set of equations

$$d_a u_b + d_b u_a = 0\,. \tag{5}$$

For $a=b=1$ we have

$$d_1 u_1 = 0\,,$$

which implies

$$u_1 = d_1 Y_1 + Z_1(y)\,.$$

The equation (5) for $a=1, b=2$ yields

$$d_1 u_2 = d_1 d_2 Y_1\,,$$

which implies

$$u_2 = d_2 Y_1 + d_1 Y_2 + Z_2(y)\,.$$

The equation (5) for $a=b=2$ yields

$$d_2 d_1 Y_2 = 0\,,$$

which implies

$$Y_2 = d_1 V_1 + d_2 V_2 + x_i^* s_i(x,y)\,, \quad s_i(x,y) \equiv \sum_{m=1,n=0} s^{m,n}_{i(j)_m(l)_n}(x_j)^m (y_l)^n\,.$$

Thus we have

$$u_2 = d_2 Y_1 - d_2 d_1 V_2 + x_i s_i(x,y)\,.$$

Denoting

$$Y = Y_1 - d_1 V_2\,, \quad s_2(x,y) = x_i s_i(x,y) - Z_2(y), \quad s_1(y) \equiv Z_1(y)\,,$$

we conclude that the general solution to the equations (5) can be represented in the form

$$u_a = d_a Y + s_a\,, \quad s_1 = s_1(y)\,, \quad s_2 = s_2(x,y), \tag{6}$$

where the second term cannot be represented in the form of $d_a u$.

Let $\phi(x,y)$ be a given function. It is obvious to satisfy the equations

$$d_a \phi = 0.$$

Then the functions $s(x,y)$ and $z = z^{ij} x_i^* x_j^*$, $z^{ji} = (-1)^{(\varepsilon_i+1)(\varepsilon_j+1)} z^{ij}$ should exist such that

$$\phi = d_2 d_1 z + s = a^{ij} x_i y_j + s,$$

$$a^{ij} = 2 z^{ij} (-1)^{\varepsilon_i}.$$

It is obvious that

$$a^{ij} = -(-1)^{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j} a^{ji} = a^{[ij]}$$

which implies just the representation (3.5).

Let $m^{[ij]}$, $\varepsilon(m^{[ij]}) = \varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j$, be a given function to satisfy the condition

$$m^{[ij]} x_i y_j = 0.$$

Then the function $m = m^{[ij)}(-1)^{\epsilon_i} x_i^* x_j^*$ satisfies the equation

$$d_2 d_1 m = 0$$

and, hence,

$$m = d_1 Z_1 + d_2 Z_2 \,, \quad Z_a = Z_a^{ijk} x_i^* x_j^* x_k^* \,,$$

so that we get hereof

$$m^{[ij]} = U^{[lij]} x_l + V^{[lij]} y_l \,,$$

$$U^{[lij]} = (-1)^{\varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_l + \varepsilon_j} Z_1^{lij} \,, \quad V^{[lij]} = (-1)^{\varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_l + \varepsilon_j} Z_2^{lij} \,,$$

which implies just the representation (3.8).

Let m^i, $\varepsilon(m^i) = \varepsilon_m + \varepsilon_i$, be a given function to satisfy the condition

$$m^i x_i = m^i y_i = 0.$$

Then the function $m = (-1)^{\epsilon_i} m^i x_i^*$ satisfies the equations

$$d_a m = 0 \,,$$

so that we get hereof

$$(-1)^{\varepsilon_i} m^i x_i^* = d_2 d_1 Z^{jli} x_j^* x_l^* x_i^* = (-1)^{\varepsilon_i} m^{[jli]} x_j y_l x_i^* \,,$$

$$m^{[jli]} = 6 Z^{jli} (-1)^{\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_i} \,,$$

which implies

$$m^i = m^{[jli]} x_j y_l.$$

References

[1] I.A.Batalin, S.L.Lyakhovich, I.V.Tyutin, Mod.Phys. Lett. **A7** (1992) 1931.

[2] I.A.Batalin, I.V.Tyutin, S.L.Lyakhovich, Int. J. Mod.Phys. **A 10** (1995) 1917.

[3] I.A.Batalin, P.M.Lavrov, I.V.Tyutin, J.Math. Phys. **31** (1990) 6

[4] I.A.Batalin, P.M.Lavrov, I.V.Tyutin, J.Math. Phys. **31** (1990) 2708